

Date: Tue, 26 Jan 93 04:30:03 PST
From: Packet-Radio Mailing List and Newsgroup <packet-radio@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Packet-Radio-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Packet-Radio@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Packet-Radio Digest V93 #24
To: packet-radio

Packet-Radio Digest Tue, 26 Jan 93 Volume 93 : Issue 24

Today's Topics:

Address for ANLI Antennas My Rubber Ducky segment broke on the A1-800

AEA PKT-1 tnc ???

Digicom Soft C64

DRSI driver and PCPA card

Ftp site for amiganos ?! (3 msgs)

High Speed Backbones? (2 msgs)

Internet gateways:

IP Address Coordinator for Maine? (2 msgs)

mfj1270b & alinco 580

morse code software

Need DCD state machine data

New version of NET/Mac (PA2AGA Version) Available

PacketCluster + Contest FTP

Packet Radio USENET connection

phs PACSAT program where?

PL tones on San Diego packet?? (2 msgs)

ramsey kit/pmp

TCP/IP NOS Network

TheNET X1-H problems

Tracking the Digital Fox?

West End Amateur Group USENET Access

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Packet-Radio@UCSD.Edu>

Send subscription requests to: <Packet-Radio-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>

Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Packet-Radio Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/packet-radio".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 25 Jan 93 12:29:27 GMT

From: ogicse!mintaka.lcs.mit.edu!ai-lab!silver.lcs.mit.edu!johnp@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: Address for ANLI Antennas My Rubber Ducky segment broke on the A1-800
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

--

johnp@silver.lcs.mit.edu		Its not impossible, just improbable
johnp@pro.angmar.uucp		(Zaphod Beeblbrox)
bl298@cleveland.freenet.edu		N1NIG@amsat.org (Being a Ham is so grand)

Date: 26 Jan 93 03:59:41 GMT
From: pa.dec.com!engage.pko.dec.com!nntpd.lkg.dec.com!sousa.tay.dec.com!
bobseg.enet.dec.com!segrest@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: AEA PKT-1 tnc ???
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Greetings,

A fellow ham just dug an AEA PKT-1 tnc out of his used equipment box and asked if it will get him up and on the air with our local bbs. Can anyone tell me about this tnc? I would like to know if it will do KISS mode operations and or if it is TAPR TNC-2 compatible.

Anyone familiar with this beast?

--

Bob Segrest
segrest@bobseg.enet.dec.com

Date: Sun, 24 Jan 93 23:14:31 NZST
From: waikato.ac.nz!aukuni.ac.nz!nacjack!codewks!carl@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Digicom Soft C64
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Hi

Are there any Amateur Radio operators in NZ that could tell me where I might be able to get DIGICOM software for my C64?
Please E-Mail me..... Thanks

>> ZL1UWV << I hang out on 146.70 AKL.

--

carl@codewks.nacjack.gen.nz (Carl Trommel)
The BBS Works -- +64 9 630 7739 NZL New Zealand's Oldest BBS

Date: 25 Jan 93 15:55:30 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: DRSI driver and PCPA card
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Hello,

I am not sure this is the right mailing list to send my question to.
If not i am very sorry.

I am searching for some information about the DRSI driver and the
PCPA card. Can someone help me to get these information:

- 1- What are the features of such a card.
- 2- Compared to others, What are its advantages
- 3- What other equipments should i get to have communication
over packet radio
- 4- Is there an email address of the manufacturer of this
card and those of others (if any).

Any help will be greatly appreciated.
thank you
khaled.

Date: Sat, 23 Jan 1993 23:23:20 GMT
From: mcsun!sunic!kth.se!lysator.liu.se!pme@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Ftp site for amiganos ?!
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Where do I find a amiga NOS version for ftp ? There must be
some one who have it. archie should not find it for me!

Date: Sun, 24 Jan 1993 04:25:29 GMT
From: concert!gatech!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!axa12-slip.DIALIN.CWRU.Edu!
ashok@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Ftp site for amiganos ?!
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

In article <C1ByAx.HsD@lysator.liu.se> pme@lysator.liu.se (Peter Enderborg)
writes:

>Where do I find a amiga NOS version for ftp ? There must be

>some one who have it. archie should not find it for me!

Check out "ftp.ucsd.edu" and it's mirrors (such as cs.uwp.edu).
The directory hamradio/packet/tcpip/amiga has Amiga NOS in it.

Ashok

Ashok Aiyar
Department of Biochemistry
CWRU School of Medicine

Date: 24 Jan 93 02:06:13 GMT
From: newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!usenet@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Ftp site for amiganos ?!
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

In article <C1ByAx.HsD@lysator.liu.se> pme@lysator.liu.se (Peter Enderborg)
writes:

> Where do I find a amiga NOS version for ftp ? There must be
> some one who have it. archie should not find it for me!
>

Look in wuarchive.wustl.edu (/pub/amiga/comms, I think)

--

O/#####^V
| Eric L. Beyer President #
| Resident Advisor elb1@cornell.edu Solaris Development Group #
| Mary Donlon Hall C= certified developers #
| Cornell University IRC: Zoma (#amiga) A500 user #
----->

Date: 24 Jan 93 20:40:25 GMT
From: ogicse!emory!swrinde!gatech!kd4nc!dug@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: High Speed Backbones?
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

<PJC130@psuvm.psu.edu> writes:

>We are looking to install a backbone system around the SW Pa area. What
>I've seen of the TexNet system is OK, but we would like to go > 9600 for the
>backbone. (19200 is OK, 56K is preferred).

^^^

Paul, I certainly agree.. especially on the 56K idea...
it's enough trouble to build
networks without taking baby steps... If I could buy
1.5 MBS systems and make them work over the distances that
we have to here in the foothills of N. Ga.. I would buy
them... as it is, we have 56KB, so we use it...

>One of the ideas that we have is that each point to point link is on its own
>channel (multiple transceivers), another is that there is only one
>transmitter per site, but multiple receivers. In either case, we certainly
>need more than two ports per node.

Technically, I agree with the second approach..
we probably should make the 56K modems
available as receive or transmit only kits... it's designed
that way, we have never advertised a price.. it causes certain
problems in stocking, etc... but it's certainly "do-able"...

Back in 87 or so when we were planning out the GRAPES network.. I proposed
essentially what you have proposed.. I was "yelled down" by the rest
of the networking committee suggesting that my solution was too
expensive (both in time and money).. they wanted to go simplex initially...

I have to admit that looking back now in 1993, I must agree with them....
we have progressed... but it was hard enough to accomplish
even with this simplest of implementations . But we have participation
from Augusta, Ga Across N. Ga to Alabama and into Tennessee.. most of
it is up and running at 56K.. It's been a hard road... but every step
we've taken has been to make whatever we do permanent..., supported by a
group...

I still believe "HEXNET" (or single transmitter at each site and
multiple receivers, one for each transmitter in range) is the
correct technical solution. Practically, I believe that it would
have held us back .. also, it's not impossible to migrate to
the "HEXNET" (I think that's what someone was calling it a few years
ago) concept from Simplex... you just have to make up your own mind
what you want:...

1) shortest implementation plan with lowest risk
that you will not get it implemented before patience runs out

or

2) Best technical solution (or if you can't do it the best way, don't start...)

>As ease of use (user transparency) is very important, a multiple NET/ROM or
>TheNet hookup is not acceptable.

interesting... I thought that many packeteers wanted a user interface that hid the topology of the network from the users... (as well as the capability to override it).. which the GRAPES network gives them...

>Any ideas?

Lay the groundwork for a simple to implement network.. then build on it... stick to funding network nodes that are maintained by local groups... not individuals (they burn out and leave you cut off)... Don't forget that there are limits to propagation at the higher freqs... especially in hilly country (like the foothills of N. Ga) and very limited allocations for packet radio at the lower freqs... it's a tradeoff all the way...

If you are trying to build a temporary network for play... you can get away with a lot more focus on technology and "flash in the pan" type of planning... if you wish for your network to last.. people are more important than technology... Brian Kantor said it very well in his posting about working together being tough for Hams... Hams work together well within a local organization.. that's why there are so many repeaters... but the linking between organizations requires cooperation over a long distance.. THAT'S TOUGH!!!!!!

Publishing is important... Newsletters, etc are the lifeblood of an organization... We've fallen down in that area.. it has hurt us... If we didn't have funding (56k modem sales) we would have long since failed... I believe that publishing can do a lot to offset lack of internal funding. (wish we had a someone willing to do a newsletter).

Sorry for the soap box.. you touched a soft spot in my head... .. Packet Radio Networking.

and.... we had a "KILLER" GRAPES networking committee meeting yesterday here in Georgia... 16 networkers showed up from as far away as Augusta, Ga, Auburn, Alabama and Nashville, Tenn... These were "hard core" do'ers, not users...

It was AWESOME!!!!...

Hope to see some of you guys/gals at the ARRL National Convention this August in Huntsville, Ala.. ALANET and GRAPES are cooperating to set up the packet radio forums and demos... CU there...

Doug

```
> *****
> **      Although the above address is OK,      **
> ** my home address is paul@n3eop.pgh.pa.us **
```

> *****

--

Doug Drye KD4NC

Date: Mon, 25 Jan 93 04:27:24 GMT

From: munnari.oz.au!spool.mu.edu!torn!nott!dgbt!barry@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: High Speed Backbones?

To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

This is a repost... dang newsreader defaulted to local distribution again...

In <C15MoI.GMp@law7.DaytonOH.NCR.COM> jra@law7.DaytonOH.NCR.COM (John Ackermann) writes:

>brian@ucsd.edu (Brian Kantor) writes:

>>Were I to do the San Diego Metro net over again, I'd put a single packet
>>9600 bps repeater up on a central location, and have all the outlying nodes
>>and services connect through it.

>This is what we're in Dayton with the 19.2 repeater. It provides the
>"backplane" for the other services to connect to. Rather than upgrade
>speed (for right now) our plans are to add a second repeater when the
>load is high enough to justify it -- we'll put users on one repeater
>and servers on the other.

>>Later, when there are more than two 9600 bps USER stations on the air in
>>town, we'd upgrade the repeater to 56kb.

The same approach is used here in Ottawa, except that we went directly to 56kb. Our full-duplex 56kb repeater has been on the air for more than 3 years now, and the 56kb network (currently with 7 nodes) has become the backbone for the area. We plan to multicouple a second high-speed repeater in parallel with the original, sharing the rf equipment... but right now the repeater handles the local traffic with ease. Some of the 56kb stations provide network access on 2m to small "cells" around them, and some have trunks to other areas (see my article in the '91 CNC for more details).

Using fdx repeaters for linking as well as user LANs should be given serious consideration. Take a hypothetical situation where you want to link 3 network nodes that can't hear each other. You could find a suitable site with good paths to the 3 nodes and put up a 3-port packet switch, with hdx or fdx point-to-point links to each node. This is an expensive proposition, with lots of equipment to maintain at a site that may not be readily accessible. If a 4th node comes along which is hidden from the others and needs to be linked, things get very messy. Now consider putting

a repeater on the site instead... now the equipment on the site is very simple and easy to maintain. The equipment needed to link through the repeater is likewise simple, and it is the same for each node. Adding another node is a trivial proposition, and your expensive packet switch hardware is mostly at home stations instead of up on the hill, so it is easier to maintain. You're also using up less spectrum... of course, the repeater has to support a data rate which can handle the combined traffic. This isn't the answer when we want get trunks going at T1 rates and beyond, but it *will* solve a lot of today's networking problems.

Barry VE3JF

--

Barry McLarnon		Internet: barry@dgbt.doc.ca
Communications Research Center		AMPRnet: barry@bbs.ve3jf.ampr.org
Ottawa, Canada K2H 8S2		PBBSnet: ve3jf@ve3jf.#eon.on.can

--

Barry McLarnon		Internet: barry@dgbt.doc.ca
Communications Research Center		AMPRnet: barry@bbs.ve3jf.ampr.org
Ottawa, Canada K2H 8S2		PBBSnet: ve3jf@ve3jf.#eon.on.can

Date: 25 Jan 93 18:30:09 GMT
From: ogicse!emory!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!news.unomaha.edu!cwis.unomaha.edu!
rerickso@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Internet gateways:
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Is there a listing somewhere on all of the current
Internet gateways?

Ron
AK0N

Date: 24 Jan 93 22:54:00 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: IP Address Coordinator for Maine?
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Could someone please tell me who the IP Address coordinator
for Maine is please? I have a friend who want to get active
in packet networking again, but am not sure who the coordinator
is. Thanks for any help. 73, Mark. aa2ma@marconi.eecs.usma.edu

--

Mark Morgida
Asst Professor, Dept EECS
US Military Academy
West Point, NY 10996

Date: 25 Jan 1993 19:33:13 GMT
From: ucsd.edu!brian@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: IP Address Coordinator for Maine?
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

AMPRNet IP address coordinators as of 15 December 1992

Corrections and updates to brian@ucsd.edu.

Note: the people listed here have volunteered to issue IP addresses for their areas. They are not paid to do this service; please understand that they are perfectly at ease to deal with coordination responses at a reasonably lower priority than the things that matter more, such as job and family. Please be patient when requesting an address.

44.002	Bob Meyer	K6RTV	Calif: Sacramento
44.004	Douglas Thom	N6OYU	Calif: Silicon Valley - San Francisco
44.006	Don Jacob	WB5EKU	Calif: Santa Barbara/Ventura
44.008	Brian Kantor	WB6CYT	Calif: San Diego
44.010	Terry Neal	AA6TN	Calif: Orange County
44.012	Steven King	KD7RO	Eastern Washington, Idaho
44.014	John Shalamskas	KJ9U	Hawaii & Pacific Islands
44.016	Jeff Angus	WA6FWI	Calif: Los Angeles - S F Valley
44.017	Dana Myers	KK6JQ	Calif: Antelope Valley/Kern County
44.018	Geoffrey Joy	KE6QH	Calif: San Bernardino & Riverside
44.020	Fred Schneider	K0YUM	Colorado: Northeast
44.022	John Stannard	KL7JL	Alaska
44.024	Dennis Goodwin	KB7DZ	Washington state: Western (Puget Sound)
44.026	Ron Henderson	WA7TAS	Oregon
44.028	Don Adkins	KD5QN	Texas: North
44.030	J Gary Bender	WS5N	New Mexico
44.032	Bdale Garbee	N3EUA	Colorado: Southeast
44.034	Mark J. Bailey	N4XHX	Tennessee
44.036	Doug Drye	KD4NC	Georgia
44.038	Mike Abbott	N4QXV	South Carolina
44.040	Jeff Jacobsen	WA7MBL	Utah
44.042	Phil Akers	WA4DDE	Mississippi
44.044	Bob Wilson	KA1XN	Massachusetts: western
44.046	William Simmons	WB0ROT	Missouri
44.048	Jacques Kubley	KA9FJS	Indiana
44.050	Ron Breitwisch	KC00X	Iowa

44.052	Gary Grebus	K8LT	New Hampshire
44.054	Ralph Stetson	KD1R	Vermont
44.056	Don Hughes	KA1MF	Eastern&Central Mass
44.058	Rich Clemens	KB8AOB	West Virginia
44.060	Howard Leadmon	WB3FFV	Maryland
44.062	Jim DeArras	WA4ONG	Virginia
44.062	Jon Gefaell	KD4CQY	Virginia (Charlottesville Area)
44.064	Dave Trulli	NN2Z	New Jersey: northern
44.065	Bob Applegate	WA2ZZX	New Jersey: southern
44.066	John DeGood	NU3E	Delaware
44.068.1-32	Bob Foxworth	K2EUH	New York: NYC & Long Island
44.068.64+	Bob Bellini	N2IGU	New York: ENY
44.069	Paul Gerwitz	WA2WPI	New York: WNY
44.070	Gary Sanders	N8EMR	Ohio
44.072	Ken Stritzel	WA9AEK	Chicago - North Ill.
44.073	Chuck Henderson	WB9UUS	South/Central Ill.
44.074	James Curran	KA4OJN	North Carolina (east)
44.075	Charles Layno	WB4WOR	North Carolina (west)
44.076	Kurt Freiburger	WB5BBW	Texas: south
44.077	Rod Huckabay	KA5EJX	Texas: west
44.078	Joe Buswell	K5JB	Oklahoma
44.080	Doug Crompton	WA3DSP	Pennsylvania: eastern
44.082	Steven Elwood	N7GXP	Montana
44.084	Bob Ludtke	K9MWM	Colorado: Western
44.086	Reid Fletcher	WB7CJO	Wyoming
44.088	Jon Bloom	KE3Z	Connecticut
44.090	Mike Nickolaus	NF0N	Nebraska
44.092	Pat Davis	KD9UU	Wisconsin, upper peninsula Michigan
44.094	Gary Sharp	WD0HEB	Minnesota
44.096	Don Bennett	K4NGC	District of Columbia
44.098	Bruce ??	WD4HIM	Florida
44.100	Richard Elling	KB4HB	Alabama
44.102	Jeff King	WB8WKA	Michigan (lower peninsula)
44.104	Charles Greene	W1CG	Rhode Island
44.106	Tyler Barnett	N4TY	Kentucky
44.108	James Dugal	N5KNX	Louisiana
44.110	Richard Duncan	WD5B	Arkansas
44.112	Bob Hoffman	N3CVL	Pennsylvania: western
44.114	Steven Elwood	N7GXP	N&S Dakota
44.116	Tom Kloos	WS7S	Oregon: NW&Portland,Vancouver WA
44.118	Jon Andrews	WA2YVL	Maine
44.120	unassigned		
44.122	Dale Puckett	K0HYD	Kansas
44.124	David Dodell	WB7TPY	Arizona
44.125.0-126	Earl Petersen	KF7TI	Southern Nevada
44.125.128-254	Bill Healy	?	Northern Nevada
44.126	Karl Wagner	KP4QG	Puerto Rico

#

```

# 44.128 is reserved for testing. Do not use for operational networks.
# You may safely assume that any packets with 44.128 addresses are bogons
# unless you are using them for some sort of testing
#
44.128    TEST
#
# International subnet coordinators by country
#
44.129    Japan          JG1SLY    Tak Kushida, JH3XCU Joly Kanbayashi
44.130    Germany        DL4TA     Ralf D Kloth
44.131    United Kingdom  G6PWY    Chris Baron
44.132    Indonesia YB1BG    Robby Soebiakto
44.133    Spain          EA4DQX    Jose Antonio Garcia. Madrid. (EA4DQX @ EA4DQX)
44.134    Italy          I2KFX
44.135    Canada         VE3GYQ    David Toth
44.136    Australia VK2ZXQ    John Tanner
44.137    Holland        PA0GRI    Gerard Van Der Grinten
44.138    Israel         4X1GP    Peleg Lapid
44.139    Finland        OH1MQK    Matti Aarnio
44.140    Sweden         SM0IES    Lennart
44.141    Norway         LA4JL    Per Eotang
44.142    Switzerland    HB9CAT    Marco Zollinger
44.143    Austria         OE1KDA    Krzysztof Dabrowski
44.144    Belgium        ON7LE
44.145    Denmark        OZ1EUI
44.146    Phillipines    DU1UJ     Eddie Manolo
44.147    New Zealand
44.148    Ecuador        HC5K Ted
44.149    Hong Kong VS6EL
44.150    Slovenija S53FK    Iztok Saje
44.151    France          FC1BQP    Pierre-Francois Monet
44.152    Venezuela 0A4K0/YV5 Luis Suarez
44.153    Argentina LU7ABF    Pedro Converso
44.154    Greece          SV1UY     Demetre Valaris
44.155    Ireland        EI9GL     Paul Healy
44.156    Hungary         HA5DI     Bela Markus
44.157    Chile           CE6EZB    Raul Burgos
44.158    Portugal CT1DIA    Artur Gomes
44.159    Thailand HS1JC     Kunchit Charmaraman
44.160    South Africa ZS6BHD    John
44.161    Luxembourg      LX1YZ     Erny Tontlinger
44.162    Cyprus          5B4TX     C. Costis
44.163    Central America TI3DJT    Chuck Hast
44.164    Surinam         PZ2AC     Otto Morroy
44.165    Poland          SP5WCA    Andrzej K. Brandt
44.166    Korea           HL9TG     Gary ?
44.167    India           VU2LBW    Lakshman ("Lucky") Bijanki
44.168    Taiwan          BV5AF     Bolon

```

44.169 Nigeria 5N00BA Kunle
 44.170 Croatia ?? Sinisa Novosel
 44.171 Serbia (nobody volunteered yet)
 44.172 Sri Lanka 4S7EF Ekendra
 44.173 Mexico XE???? (no one has volunteered yet)
 44.174 Brazil PP5AQ Luiz F. Catalan
 44.175 Cuba C02JA Jose Amador

 44.193 Outer Space-AMSAT W3IWI Tom Clark

 Date: 25 Jan 93 14:55:25 GMT
 From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
 Subject: mfj1270b & alinco 580
 To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

i recently bought an mfr1270b for packet. when i got it out of the box and turned it on i found it was generating a very strong signal at 144.979 Mhz. in fact it is strong enough that my son could send me signals with about 50 feet seperating the 1270b and my ht. is this normal or do i need to send it back :(

as a side note has anyone connected a dj580 and an mfj1270 together. specifically what value of resistor do i need to activate the ptt line.

73

the views expressed here are the author's

C. Harper harper@huntsville.sparta.com
 KD4QIO
 SPARTA Inc (205) 837-5282 x1216 voicemail
 4901 Corporate Drive (205) 830-0287 FAX
 Huntsville AL 35805
 "we have met the enemy and he is us." w. kelly

 Date: 24 Jan 93 21:33:40 GMT
 From: newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!usenet@uunet.uu.net
 Subject: morse code software
 To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

I'm looking for a morse code tutorial program for the Amiga - something freeware. Currently, the only such programs I have been able to find are morse1.2.lzh, which is cripple-ware and some AmigaBASIC programs from 1988.

Any help would be appreciated.

--

```
O#####^V
| Eric L. Beyer           President           #
| Resident Advisor      elb1@cornell.edu      Solaris Development Group #
| Mary Donlon Hall       C= certified developers #
| Cornell University    IRC: Zoma (#amiga)     A500 user           #
----->
```

Date: Sat, 23 Jan 1993 21:33:37 GMT
From: gumby!destroyer!fmsrl7!lynx.unm.edu!umn.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!
wd6cmu@yale.arpa
Subject: Need DCD state machine data
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

I need someone to email me or point me at a downloadable copy of the contents of the state machine ROM in the N7CL "true" DCD circuit. (Yes, I *know* it's in the 7th ARRL CNC Proceedings, I'm missing that volume.) I have a DCD board that has stopped working and I suspect the EPROM has dropped bits, but I can't verify that without the correct contents. Thanks in advance.

--

Eric Williams wd6cmu@netcom.com | Never attribute to malice that which
WD6CMU@WD6CMU.#NOCAL.CA.USA.NA | is adequately explained by stupidity.

Date: Sun, 24 Jan 1993 18:51:50 GMT
From: saimiri.primate.wisc.edu!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!
sol.ctr.columbia.edu!The-Star.honeywell.com!umn.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!
dewayne@ames.arpa
Subject: New version of NET/Mac (PA2AGA Version) Available
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

A new release of the PA2AGA version of NET/Mac, version 2.3.15 has recently been posted. There have been some major new function added to this release and the purpose of this notice is to explain some of those enhancements.

o New AppleTalk Driver Code

The previous version of NET/Mac used a driver which supported the AppleTalk (AT) Link Access Protocol (LAP). As a result, a user was limited to being able to only establish sessions with other users

on the same AT network. The new release uses a Datagram Delivery Protocol (DDP) driver which now supports establishing connections with all users in the same AT zone. All problems with checksum errors that were a result of the old LAP-based code have been corrected.

o Support of MacIP Protocol

This release of NET/Mac supports the MacIP protocol. This means that NET/Mac can now support AT gateway products such as the Shiva FastPath and Cayman Gatorbox. As a result, NET/Mac can now pass packets to an Ethernet IP network via any gateway product which supports the MacIP protocol for encapsulating IP in AT DDP packets.

NET/Mac can also now interoperate with Apple's MacTCP product. This means that any Macintosh application which supports MacTCP, such as NCSA TELNET can now talk to NET/Mac over an AT network. Please note that NET/Mac and MacTCP can not run on the same machine at the same time as there will be a conflict over the use of the MacIP socket.

o Support for the SLFP Protocol

NET/Mac now supports the MIT Serial Line Framing Protocol (SLFP) which is used at MIT and the Merit Computer Network. This support has been available in NOS for sometime and as a result of user requests it has been added to NET/Mac.

o Support for the Buckmaster HamCall CD-ROM

NET/Mac now supports the format used for the callsign database provided on the Buckmaster HamCall CD-ROM. The callsign database format used in previous versions is no longer supported. Anyone interested in using the callsign database lookup support should contact Buckmaster for information on obtaining their CD-ROM.

This new release is available in the incoming directory of ucsd.edu. It is also available at all SUMEX mirror sites and America Online. Any support questions or comments should be directed to myself or Adam van Gaalen PA2AGA at adam@IGG.TNO.NL.

Dewayne Hendricks, WA8DZP
Tetherless Access Ltd.
43730 Vista Del Mar
Fremont, CA 94539-6250

! CIS: 75210,10 AppleLink: D6547
! Packet Radio: WA8DZP @ K3MC.#NOCAL.CA.US
! AOL: HENDRICKS
! Internet: dewayne@netcom.com

--

Dewayne Hendricks, WA8DZP	! CIS: 75210,10	AppleLink: D6547
Tetherless Access Ltd.	! Packet Radio: WA8DZP @ K3MC.#NOCAL.CA.US	
43730 Vista Del Mar	! AOL: HENDRICKS	
Fremont, CA 94539-6250	! Internet: dewayne@netcom.com	

Date: 25 Jan 1993 12:10:19 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!spool.mu.edu!yale.edu!ira.uka.de!
news.belwue.de!news.uni-stuttgart.de!ifsws1.sozialforschung.uni-stuttgart.de!
gross@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: PacketCluster + Contest FTP
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

ifsws1.sozialforschung.uni-stuttgart.de 141.58.162.1
=====

Hi, this site is in Stuttgart, Germany !

This is a FTP server for the Ham Radio community, especially to support
system operators of the PacketCluster software package.

NEW: /pub/contest - contesting software and utilities.

See /pub and directories below for downloadable stuff.

You can upload files You want to make accessible to the public to the
directory "/pub/uploads" - please leave an appropriate *.txt file describing
your upload.

There is also a mailing list forum for PacketCluster system operators:
to get more information, send an email to
listserv@ifsws1.sozialforschung.uni-stuttgart.de
with a message body of "HELP".

Please report problems and suggestions to

Frank Grossmann (DL1SBR)

gross@ifsws1.sozialforschung.uni-stuttgart.de

--

Frank Grossmann (DL1SBR)
Internet: gross@ifsws1.sozialforschung.uni-stuttgart.de

Packet Radio: DL1SBR@DB0SDX.DEU.EU
Stuttgart University, institute for social research

Date: 24 Jan 93 07:18:44 GMT
From: ogicse!henson!news.u.washington.edu!serval!beta.tricity.wsu.edu!
boutwell@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Packet Radio USENET connection
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Is there one available I have a friend that would like to be able to
connect to USENET via Packet Radio is this possible and could you please
give me some Locations to connect with to achive this at....

--

* Scott Boutwell * WSU Tri-Cities *
* boutwell@beta.tricity.wsu.edu *
* Member of SWAMI Users Group * Richland, Washington *

Date: Mon, 25 Jan 1993 07:11:05 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!spool.mu.edu!darwin.sura.net!
haven.umd.edu!wam.umd.edu!tedwards@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: phs PACSAT program where?
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

I have been using PB920224, but I have a problem. The
"dofile.bat" batch file which cleans up the messages
after they have been downloaded calls "phs." What is
phs? Where can I get a copy of it via ftp?

de N3HAU

Date: Mon, 25 Jan 1993 02:57:51 GMT
From: paladin.american.edu!darwin.sura.net!europa.asd.contel.com!rocky!do-not-
reply-to-path@uunet.uu.net
Subject: PL tones on San Diego packet??
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

My brother is contemplating becoming a ham and getting on packet where he
lives in San Diego, however he was somewhat concerned that they use pls
on the nodes and tcp/ip repeaters since he wants to buy a used 2m rig that

does not have tones. I am quite certain he has nothing to worry about but wanted to check first before advising him to buy the radio. Also, are most of the 2m voice repeaters in San Diego pl'd?

Thank you for your time,

-Andrea, WS1C

Date: 26 Jan 1993 03:22:10 GMT
From: ucsd.edu!brian@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: PL tones on San Diego packet??
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

It is ABSOLUTELY FALSE that nodes and packet repeaters use PL in San Diego. As the person who built, installed, and maintains the packet repeater and more than half of the nodes, I can assure you that they do NOT use PL.

- Brian

Date: Sun, 24 Jan 1993 23:04:32 GMT
From: think.com!sdd.hp.com!ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!news.cso.uiuc.edu!uxa.cso.uiuc.edu!btbg1194@ames.arpa
Subject: ramsey kit/pmp
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

In article <9301222236.AA00274@yahtzee.cit.cornell.edu>
kfeeney@KLONDIKE.CIT.CORNELL.EDU writes:

>A couple of notes on Brad's comments on PMP and the Ramsey kit. I don't know
>what Ramsey has done concerning PMP as they never contacted us, but the modem
>is not terribly special. Andy is now handling PMP as he has graduated and moved
>east and mail forwarding from my address to his is subject to my travel schedule
>. You can contact him about PMP directly at payne@crl.dec.com about kit stuff.

>I'm not sure what Brad meant about the serial line problem on the PTT on his
>HT, PMP uses only the parallel port for PTT. D0 and D1 are used for TX data
>and PTT and the two input lines error and ack are used for carrier detect and
>RX data. We used the parallel port because it didn't require the level shifting
>and simplified the modem and because the parallel port is almost always free on
>a laptop in the field.

Just to clear this up. The Ramsey kit is designed for connection to a PC
serial port using the Baycom software. I had problems with the PTT signal
in that configuration and used the *alternate* *parallel* port wiring for
use with Kevin's and Andy's PMP software.

>
>Hope that helps.
>
>Kevin Feeney - WB2EMS

73 de KB8CNE, Brad Banko
Urbana, IL

--

Brad Banko; Dept of Physics; U of Illinois; b-banko@uiuc.edu

=====

Tatiana Gutsu for President!

73 de kb8cne @ n9lnq.il

Date: Sun, 24 Jan 1993 20:28:48 GMT
From: news.cerf.net!iat.holonet.net!bwilkins@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: TCP/IP NOS Network
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

kirk@ecst.csuchico.edu (Paul White) writes:
: I would like to get into the NOS Tcp/Ip net, does anyone know of a place
: I could connect via packet and talk about this around the Chico/Sacramento
: , California area?
:
: Thanx,
: 73 de KD6DZP

In northern california you will find tcp/ip stations operating on 145.75
there may be some tcp/ip activity in sacramento on 144.93. Some of the
nodes will accept ax.25 connections to get you started. Have fun.

--

Bob Wilkins n6fri voice 440.250+ 100pl san francisco bay area
bwilkins@holonet.net packet n6fri @ w6pw.#nocal.ca.usa.na

Date: 25 Jan 93 10:20:00 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: TheNET X1-H problems
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

In early December, I inquired of the group about a problem with nodes
running TheNET X1H as follow:

1) ARP and IP router functions either don't respond or respond with

packets that contain binary trash. PING to the router also fails.

- 2) Tests with X1G work correctly. All nodes involved in the test have parameters identical to those used with the X1H firmware when it failed.

Software used includes an 286 MSYS 1.13 node, a 386 and a Sun 4/75 running GRI 1.7j.

Jan Barglowski reported similar problems. Since the X1H firmware has been released for a longer period of time now, I am wondering if anyone else may have experienced similar problems. For that matter, has anyone used X1H for an IP switch successfully?

Reid, WB7CJO
Fletcher@Moho.UWyo.Edu

Date: 25 Jan 93 10:07:13 EST
From: titan.ksc.nasa.gov!titan.ksc.nasa.gov!news@ames.arpa
Subject: Tracking the Digital Fox?
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

How difficult would it be to DF a packet station on 1) a clear channel with only the fox transmitting, and 2) on a shared channel with multiple users?

This could be a new source of aggravation for the fox hunting masochist!

--

Steve Schindler Voice Systems Branch NASA - Kennedy Space Center
internet: steve@vulture.ksc.nasa.gov
NASAmail: (site:smtpmail,id:<steve(a)vulture.ksc.nasa.gov>)

Date: 23 Jan 93 16:59:39 +1700
From: newsfeed.rice.edu!lub001.lamar.edu!lairdpg@beaver.cs.washington.edu
Subject: West End Amateur Group USENET Access
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Amateur Radio Bulletin Board Info:

The HAM Connection (409)833-1795 HAYES 14.4K Baud
USENET/INTERNET/AT&T Mail/MCI Mail and WNET Conferences online.
Amateur Radio related files/mods/programs for those not fortunate enough

to have FTP access and those that do. First call download access.
This is offered as a free service to broaden the knowledge of Amateur Radio
and is Ham oriented although a variety of other files and interests are
made available. An alternative site for you to send USENET mail.
Sponsored by the West-End Amateur Group (WAG).

End of Packet-Radio Digest V93 #24
